

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/690,831	10/23/2003	Noboru Sato	PHCF-03074	5418
21254	7590 06/07/2004		EXAMINER	
	GIBB, PLLC OURTHOUSE ROAD	TA, THO DAC		
SUITE 200			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
VIENNA, V	A 22182-3817		2833	
			DATE MAILED: 06/07/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
000 4 4 4 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	10/690,831	SATO ET AL.	SATO ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	. 1			
	Tho D. Ta	2833	Aw			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence ad	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, or If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ron. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirt eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tatute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely THS from the mailing date of this of ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _	·					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
,—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice und	ler <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are pending in the applicati	on.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	ndrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 4</u> is/are rejected.	☑ Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 4</u> is/are rejected.					
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3</u> is/are objected to.	☑ Claim(s) <u>3</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>23 October 2003</u> is	/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ o	bjected to by the Examin	er.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	rrection is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 Cl	FR 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by th	e Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form P1	ГО-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) △ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. △ Certified copies of the priority documents.	nents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bu	•	received in this readonal	Olage			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	•					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SI Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/23/03. 	<i>'</i>	s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PT0 	O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/690,831 Page 2

Art Unit: 2833

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite because it recites a product and define that product by the process of making it. This claim recites limitations from more than one statutory class of invention. MPEP 2173.05(p). The method of forming the device is not germane to the issue of patentability of the device itself. Therefore, this limitation has not been given patentable weight.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Dibble et al. (4,804,338).

In regard to claim 1, Dibble et al. discloses a terminal structure of cable 17 with shield layer S for attaching the cable 17 to an attached object 13 in water-tightness

while grounding a shield layer S formed on an insulation of the cable 17, comprising: a metal tube 11 one end 11B of which is inserted between the insulation and the shield layer S that are exposed by peeling a jacket of the cable 17 and the other end 11A of which is inserted into a penetrating hole in the attached object 13; a metal sleeve B that is disposed on the shield layer S and is fastened to make the one end 11B of the metal tube 11 closely contact the insulation; a heat shrinkage tube 15 that covers the metal tube 11, the metal sleeve B and the jacket and has a hot-melt layer 16 formed at the inside of the heat shrinkage tube 15, the hot-melt layer 16 being solidified by heat in the heating and shrinkage of the heat shrinkage tube 15; and a shield member 14 that is disposed on the other end of the metal tube 11 such that the shield member 14 closely contacts the wall of the penetrating hole to give a water- tightness therebetween.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Long (3.142.721) in view of Delalle (5.118,313).

In regard to claim 1, Long discloses a terminal structure of cable 1 with shield layer 3 for attaching the cable 1 to an attached object 2 in water-tightness while grounding a shield layer 3 formed on an insulation 7 of the cable 1, comprising: a metal tube 8 one end of which is inserted between the insulation 7 and the shield layer 3 that

are exposed by peeling a jacket of the cable 1 and the other end of which is inserted into a penetrating hole in the attached object 2; a metal sleeve 11 that is disposed on the shield layer 3 and is fastened to make the one end of the metal tube 8 closely contact the insulation 7; and a shield member 10 that is disposed on the other end of the metal tube 8 such that the shield member 10 closely contacts the wall of the penetrating hole to give a water- tightness therebetween.

However, Long does not disclose a heat shrinkage tube that covers the metal tube 8, the metal sleeve 11 and the jacket and has a hot-melt layer formed at the inside of the heat shrinkage tube, the hot-melt layer being solidified by heat in the heating and shrinkage of the heat shrinkage tube.

Delalle teaches a heat shrinkage tube 7 has a hot-melt layer 6 formed at the inside of the heat shrinkage tube 7, the hot-melt layer 6 being solidified by heat in the heating and shrinkage of the heat shrinkage tube 7 for preventing or reducing passage of moisture from the terminal portion 1 to the crimp portion 3.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Long's invention by adding a heat shrinkage tube having a hot-melt layer formed at the inside of the heat shrinkage tube to cover the metal tube 8, the metal sleeve11 and the jacket 6 as taught by Delalle in order to prevent or reduce passage of moisture from outside entering the connection area and thus provide a better electrical connection.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Long and 7. Delalle as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Japan Patent Application 3-78980.

Long as modified by Delalle has been discussed above.

Long discloses that the metal tube 8 includes a flange 9 to be fixed to the attached object 2.

However, Long does not disclose that the flange 9 to be fixed to the attached object 2 through a fixing member.

Japan Patent Application 3-78980 discloses that the metal tube 1 includes a flange 3 to be fixed to the attached object 18 through a fixing member at 2.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to further modify Long's invention by adding the fixing member to the flange as taught by Japan Patent Application 3-78980 in order to provide a better attachment feature to the terminal structure.

Allowable Subject Matter

- Claim 3 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would 8. be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 9. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art fails to provide, teach or suggest that the metal tube has an outer

Application/Control Number: 10/690,831

Art Unit: 2833

diameter equal to the diameter of the jacket of the cable; and in combination with the

limitations in the base claim.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Tho D. Ta whose telephone number is (571) 272-2014.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00-5:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Paula A. Bradley can be reached on (571) 272-2800 ext 33. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-

872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 6

tdt

05/27/04